NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF MANDATE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

February 16, 2005

1972

MANDATE

TO: Clerk, District Court/Agency

FROM: Richard H. Sewell

Deputy Clerk

RE: 04-1461 Bryan v. Lucent Technology

CA-03-265-AMD

HEREWITH IS THE MANDATE OF THIS COURT, ISSUED THIS DATE, ON THE JUDGMENT ENTERED BY THE COURT ON 11/4/04.

[] | Order and Certified Copy of Judgment [x] Opinion and Certified Copy of Judgment

Order on Costs
Order dismissing appeal R42(b) or Local Rule 45
Other:

The record has been retained for use in case number

cc: Robert Ross Niccolini

Lisa D. Bryan

JUDGMENT

FILED: November 4, 2004

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

for the

Fourth Circuit

LOGED

NO. 04-1461 CA-03-265-AMD



LISA D. BRYAN

Plaintiff - Appellant

٧.

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED, A New Jersey Corporation

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland at Baltimore

In accordance with the written opinion of this Court filed this day, the Court affirms the judgment of the District Court.

A certified copy of this judgment will be provided to the District Court upon issuance of the mandate. The judgment will take effect upon issuance of the mandate

/s/ Patricia S. Connor

CLERK

Patricia S. Connor, Clerk
By Fac

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT



No. 04-1461

LISA D. BRYAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED, A New Jersey Corporation,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Andre M. Davis, District Judge. (CA-03-265-AMD)

Submitted: August 27, 2004 Decided: November 4, 2004

Before MICHAEL and SHEDD, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Lisa D. Bryan, Appellant Pro Se. Robert Ross Niccolini, MCGUIREWOODS, LLP, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Lisa D. Bryan appeals the district court's order granting summary judgement to the Defendant in this employment discrimination action. We have reviewed the record and the district court's thorough opinion and find no reversible error Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Bryan v. Lucent Techs., Inc., No. CA-03-265-AMD (D. Md.

15, 2004). We dispense with oral argument because the facts legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials

before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED